

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

8

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

10

COMCAST OF CALIFORNIA I, INC.,
COMCAST OF CALIFORNIA/
MASSACHUSETTS/MICHIGAN/UTAH,
INC.,

11

Plaintiffs,

12

No. C 05-00824 WHA

13

**ORDER REGARDING
SCHEDULING**

14

v.

15

THE CITY OF WALNUT CREEK,
CALIFORNIA, A MUNICIPAL
CORPORATION OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA

16

Defendant.

17

/

18

19

In the above-named action, defendants moved for summary judgment and summary adjudication. In response, plaintiffs moved for cross-motion for summary judgment. The civil local rules have been modified and there is no longer a provision for cross-motions. Therefore, the Court sets forth the following schedule:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On **July 14, 2005**, the Court will hear both defendant's motion for summary judgment and summary adjudication, and plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment.
- Defendant shall file a reply to plaintiffs' opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment/opposition to plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment by **June 30, 2005**. Defendant is to submit one set of briefs as its reply/opposition.

1 • Plaintiffs shall file a reply to defendant's opposition to plaintiffs' motion for
2 summary judgment by **July 5, 2005**.

3 The Court understands that Comcast's formal proposal for franchise renewal was due to
4 the City of Walnut Creek on May 20, 2005. Parties are to submit statements to the Court by
5 **5 P.M. on June 30, 2005**, explaining the status of the proposal.

6
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 Dated: June 24, 2005



10 WILLIAM ALSUP
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE